

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No. : 10/713,417  
Filing Date : November 14, 2003  
Inventor : Steven Taylor Morris  
Title : Thin Film Ultrasonic Transmitter  
Examiner : Baisakhi Roy  
Art Unit : 3737  
**Old Attorney Docket** : 390086.95428  
**New Attorney Docket** : 132336  
Confirmation No. : 2732  
**Old Customer No.** : 28382  
**New Customer No.** : 61604

---

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

**Mailing**

☐ deposited with the U. S. Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Preliminary Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ **37 CFR 1.8(a)**  
with sufficient postage as first class mail

☐ **37 CFR 1.10**  
As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No. \_\_\_\_\_

**Transmission**

☐ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No. (703) 872 - 9306 addressed to Examiner \_\_\_\_\_ at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

☒ electronically transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office using EFS-Web.

Date: 11-17-08

Angela Schuly

Mail Stop Response  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

**RESPONSE**

Dear Sir:

<b>Introductory Comments</b> begin on:	page 2 of 8
<b>Remarks</b> begins on:	Page 3 of 8
<b>Conclusion</b> begins on:	Page 7 of 8
<b>Extension of Time</b> begins on:	Page 8 of 8

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

After careful review, Applicant hereby responds to a June 17, 2008 non-final Office Action regarding the above-referenced patent application. In view of this Response, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant has not added new matter with this Response and intends the scope of the invention and claims to be the same before and after this Response. Indeed, Applicant only offers this Response to clarify the invention for the Examiner and to assist the Examiner's understanding of the same. More specifically, Applicant has not intended this Response to effectuate a narrowing of the claims, foreclose techniques that are not reasonably foreseeable at this time, or effect the applicability and scope of the Doctrine of Equivalents.